*Previous references.*

1902-03
( S.R )

File No. 260 of 02-03
Serial Nos. 1-2

*Later references.*

S.R. 151 of 09
Sls. 1-2.
S.R. 3-1[illegible]12

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 1-2

Major ~~Part B.~~ for May 03

---

SUBJECT.

Claim of the Inamdars of Sarvanu Villages of Magodlu and Bidagallu, Heggadevankote taluk, to the toddy revenue of those Villages.

---

8878
Ex 241

4566
234

7-2-03

From
The Ex: Comm[illegible]

Submits the record of enqu relating to the toddy revenue of Magodlu and Bidagallu Inam Villages, Heggadadevankote taluk.

596-7. 14-5-03.

To

The Ex: Commr.
The Comptroller.

Passes orders on the claim of the Inamdars of Bidagallu and Magodlu, Villages in Heggada-devankote, taluk to the toddy revenue of those Villages.

Indexed.

Inamdars Claim to the toddy
revenue of Nagodlu & Bidagallu
villages. Heggadadevanakote
Taluk. Mysore District.

Excise Commissioners no. 456/237
Reference of 1903

SECTION A. K.
FILE No. 260 of 1902-03
ITEM No.

The remarks dated 27th September
1821 Conceded "Baje bab" to the
inamdar. This Baje bab is paid
in another instance, to Contain
also toddy. The Inam title
deed of 18th Decr 1880 entitles
the inamdar to the toddy
revenue. The inamdars states
that both the above villages
Contained a toddy shop each
& that they were closed about
20 years ago by the Court Contractor
owing to their close proximity
to Court shops; that there are
about 100 date trees in the two
villages, that they have
all along enjoyed the revenue
from the shops as well as the
spirits. Though the shops were

Council as stated above the
indemnities shall be
deducted from the Contractors
proportionate amounts of
rental on their account
In proof of ~~their~~ their statement
they have ~~also~~ paid to have
recorded the Muskoka
deeds in their favor
by the old Colony Contractors
and a copy of an order no
22 of 12/9/1859 issued by
the Supt of the late Ashle-
ton Dn entitling the
indemnities to the Shoe
Creek as well as the Grove
Creek. But these papers
have not been shewn to
Govt. The indemnities now
prays that shoes may
be ordered to be opened
in the Neilcafer in question
& that the shoe rental
as well as the tree taxes may be
ordered to be paid to them -
The investigation Officers

Mal Venkatrao opinion is not definite. However he seems to have admitted the claim – The Collector's opinion is not forthcoming. The Excise Convy has admitted the claim subject to rules 2, 5 & 13. saying nothing – about the reopening of the shops in these villages – Sd. with a draft Counsel for the above documents & infor

Torce
Telegr. I don't think all the information is necessary, ~~it~~ it being sufficient to deal with the existing facts. The question before you is whether the Mandals entitled to excise revenue from ~~1/3 rds~~ & not whether any new shops sh^d be opened in the Inam villages

even as regards the opening of
new shops, no excise officer with
any regard to the fiscal interests
of Govt would recommend
the opening of any new shops
in such villages (as the shop-rent
will go to the Inamdar & not to Govt.)
unless there was any risk of
their manufacture & sale of toddy in the villages
The claim to excise revenue from toddy
is in the case clear & may be
allowed

2) The Asst Comr (Mr Venkata Rao)
says in his memo that "there are
no date trees in these 2 Mags" while
in the Calendar he says that
there are few date trees fit to
be tapped. The Ex Comr says
there are date trees

7/8/4/13

Please put up a draft about the claim accg to your views.

224

Drafted ~~Ltd~~

Draft
23/4/03

24/4/03

To the Dewan
thro' the 2nd Councillor

Ex. 127
28-4-03
Camp Coty

27.4.03

I agree to the draft. The claim has been clearly made out — Mr Venkata Rao has a queer way of dealing with such cases; ~~Com~~ and I am not sure that other municipal officers will not have their own way & give us trouble — Could you not draft and send a clear letter instructions for the guidance of all municipal officers? — The Rules are clear — What is the Dewan to submit to under them and on what grounds, & all that we want to be reported upon — The queer hours at which

Will Mr Iyengar please draft an order?

4/5/03

This may be submitted to the Dewan independently —

Coty/1011/9
5.5.03

4/5/03

the findings thereon, and
the reasons for such
findings, ought to be set
out fully, concisely and
clearly - I had a No. of
cases from Lumsden & they
gave me very little trouble -

C

29/4/03

Ex 241 14/2/03

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
Regd No 8878
14 FEB. 1903

1903

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore General & Revenue Departments.

SECTION A-2
FILE No. 260 of 1902-03.
SER[illegible] No. 1.

Dated 7th } February 1903.
Despatched 11 }

Received

General No. 4566
No. 234

Enclosure No. 1 file

Subject.

Submits the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Nagodlu and Bidagallu Inam villages, Heggadadevan-Kote Taluk

Enc
14.2.03

Filed with a note & a draft

True
13/4/03.

Excise Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore, dated 7th January 1903

No. 45-66/234 Encl 1 file

From,

The Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore,

To.

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, General
and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Nagodlu and Bidagallu Inam villages, Heggadadevan-kote Taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating Officer's opinion that the Inamdars are entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. There are no Toddy shops in the villages, but there are date trees. Accordingly the revenue is payable to them, subject to Rules 2, 5 and 13, in Government Proceedings No. 2074-83/R.F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

S. Venkatarangayya

For Excise Commissioner

VM

in Mysore.

Encl to Ll 1

24.4.02

Magodu :- Seshailāsastry Inamdar
Bidagalli :- Lakshmi Narasimhasastry

Recd 12.5.02

The Title deeds called 1st Dec 1880 Conc
the claims of Sayer, ~~Lodge~~ Forest + Sandal
to the Inamdars.

The Samad is also produced.

The are no Salt beds in these villages.
But from a long time there were Toddy
shops in these villages & the Inamdars
used to enjoy the income from these
till lately when the Excise System
was introduced. The Inamdars now
claim that Toddy shops should be
opened in their villages & the ~~Govt~~
~~Govt~~ Excise revenue paid to them.
As soon as a Toddy shop is opened in the
same village, according to Sec IV Clause 12

the Inamdar will be entitled to receive the income of the shop

The Inamdar avers that there are few dab-trees ~~which~~ that cured supply ~~body~~ any shop.

3/5/02.

Asst Com

Enel to A1 / 4

Calendar of a Claim to Toddy Renewal enquired into by Commissioner Dyson Dist Trichy

| Name of Taluk | Name of Village Cannada or Pagani | Name of Karnam Inam Garbi or Kagaz Gulla Village &c | Name of Inamdar or Karnam petta dar | Documents & Etc. produced &c. | Witnesses Examined |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | | | | | |
| Lalgoody | Saragoor | Kidigal | Arumakanni Kandi Pillai & Others | 2. Copy of Title deed<br>1 Do - Samud. (Summary) | Karkalimanaikan & others |
| | Anbirasantay | Mangodhu | | | |

C. Venkata Row Esquire 1st Asst Com'r

Finding

Circumstances of case

Grounds of decision

Whether the Sirkar is entitled to the Excess Revenue of the Shrotriem villages Recorded [illegible] Years 12 Kodlies Tot

In the above case according to the Records of the Sirkar

From a long time there are 8 Villages in these villages and the Inamdars used to enjoy the Revenue from these villages

When the Sirkar System was introduced. The Inamdars were also Required to pay Kists according to the Settlement

Kists were fixed in their villages and the Excess Revenue paid to them. But now as a Famine Occurred in the Years villages according to Section II Clause 12 the Inamdar has to pay the Excess of the Kists

The Shrotriem villages [illegible]

Asst Com'r

Mysore.

Read:

Letter no 4566/234 dt 7/11-2-03 from the Excise Commr Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the Inamdars claim to the toddy revenue of Sava-manya villages of Magodlu & Bidagallu, Heggadadevan-kote Taluk, Mysore District.

---

Order thereon: no 596-7/47/12-07 dated 14/8/03.

---

SECTION J. R.

FILE No. 260 of 02-03

SERIAL No. 2.

29-4-03

Orders issued
The file is sent to the
2nd Councillor for inform

4/6/03

4/6/03

4x-169
11-6-03.

Seen
12/6/03

The Inamdars' claim to the toddy revenue of the villages referred to above is established both under the Nirup and the Inam title deed. There are no toddy shops, but there are a few date trees in the villages. Accordingly the existing revenue

for toddy
is payable to them subject
to rules 2,57/3 issued
in Govt Prop no 2074-83
R-7-166-92
dt 2/8/01.

01614
MCB
7.V.03

12/5/03
Seen
24/4/03

To
The Excise Commr in Mpore
The Comptroller, Mpore Govt

True
28/4/03

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 14th May 1903.*

READ—

Letter No. 4566—234, dated 7th—11th February 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the Inamdars' claim to the toddy revenue of Sarvamanya villages of Magodlu and Bidagallu, Heggaddevankote Taluk, Mysore District.

---

No. 596-7—Ex. F. 12-01, DATED BANGALORE, 14TH MAY 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The Inamdars' claim to the toddy revenue of the villages referred to above, is established both under the Nirup and the Inam title-deed. There are no toddy shops, but there are a few date trees in the villages. Accordingly the Excise revenue from toddy is payable to them subject to rules 2, 5 and 13 issued in Government Proceedings No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
*Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.*

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—C.V.

1902-03

# GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

## EXCISE

## PROCEEDINGS

*Dated 14th May 1903*

No. 596-7
Ex. F. 12-01

Passing orders on the claim of the Inamdars of Sarvamanya villages of Magodlu and Bidagallu, Heggaddevankote Taluk, Mysore District, to the toddy revenue of those villages.

SBP—26-5-03—50